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September 2011 Prediction: 4.69 million square kilometers

Summary

NSIDC is using the same approach as last year: survival of ice of different ages based on ice age fields provided by Chuck Fowler and Jim Maslanik (Univ. Colorado, Boulder). However, this year we are using a revised ice age product, one based on a 15% sea ice concentration threshold rather than the earlier version, which used a threshold of 40% [see Maslanik et al., in review for more details]. The use of a 15% threshold on sea ice concentration captures greater detail within the marginal ice zone, matches NSIDC’s threshold used for mapping overall sea ice extent and should therefore provide a better estimate of the September 2011 ice extent.

At any time of the year, the total sea ice area can be defined as the sum of the areas of the individual ice age classes, such that the total ice area (SI) is defined as:

\[ SI = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + \ldots + F_n \]

Where \( F_1 \) is the area fraction of first-year ice, etc. The amount of ice left over at the end of summer (\( SI_{sep} \)) then depends on the survivability of the winter ice cover (\( SI_{mar} \)) which can be defined as the survivability of the ice of different ice age classes, i.e. \( s_1 \) equals the survivability of the winter first-year ice fraction (\( F_{mar_1} \)). Thus, \( SI_{sep} \) equals:

\[ SI_{sep} = s_1*F_{mar_1} + s_2*F_{mar_2} + \ldots + s_n*F_{mar_n} \]

where the survivability for a specific ice age class (e.g. \( s_1 \)) is equal to the ratio of the September to March fraction of that age class (e.g. \( F_{sep_1}/F_{mar_1} \)).

As we did last year, we account for survival rates at different latitude bands to compensate for the fact that over the past few years’ first-year ice has been found at much higher latitudes than has been typical during previous years. Breaking up the analysis into 2 degree latitude bands, the total September ice area is then the sum of all survival rates for each ice age category and for each latitude band

\[ SI_{sep} = S_{lat} (s_1*F_{mar_1} + s_2*F_{mar_2} + \ldots + s_n*F_{mar_n}) \]

Thus, \( SI_{sep} \) gives the September minimum as defined by the ice age data.

One problem with the ice age data however, is that the ice age data are restricted to open ocean areas only, where ice motion can be resolved with the satellite passive microwave data. Thus, this data set does not cover the entire Arctic, such as passages in the Canadian Archipelago. In order to take into consideration the sea ice area of the Arctic not covered by the ice age data, we additionally compute
another survival rate for each year based on the total extent bias between the passive microwave sea ice extent and the ice age extent, i.e.

\[
\text{extra\_survival} = \frac{\text{September\_offset}}{\text{March\_offset}}
\]

so that the final equation can be written as:

\[
S_{\text{SIsep}} = S_{\text{.lat}} (s_1 * F_{\text{mar\_1}} + s_2 * F_{\text{mar\_2}} + \ldots + s_n * F_{\text{mar\_n}}) + \text{extra\_survival} * \text{March\_offset}
\]

Computing this for every year, using each year’s survival rates together with the ice age distribution from March 2011 and the “extra” ice not mapped by the ice age data gives the results show in Figure 1. The red line shows the minimum for 2007 and the green line the minimum for 2008. None of the individual years survival rates predict a new record minimum for 2011 and only survival rates from 2008 would predict that this coming September’s minimum would drop below that observed in 2008. This likely reflects in part an overall recovery in multiyear ice extent from the extreme reductions in 2007 and 2008 [Maslanik et al., in review]. On the other hand, there has been a significant shift in the survival of multiyear ice within the Canada Basin and the Beaufort Sea. Between 2002 and 2009 there was an 83% decline in multiyear ice in the Canada Basin alone [Maslanik et al., in review].

**Figure 1.** Estimated 2011 minimum extent based on ice age survival rates from previous years (1979-2010). Red line shows the 2007 September minimum, green line corresponds to the 2008 September minimum.
Given the changing nature of survivability of multiyear ice in the Canada Basin and the Beaufort Sea in recent years, we estimate a minimum for September 2011 of 4.69 million square kilometers based on an average of the last 4 years survival rates.

References

Details

Our first Outlook contribution in 2008 employed ice age fields provided by Chuck Fowler and Jim Maslinik (Univ. Colorado, Boulder). Because most of the summer ice loss is due to first-year ice (FYI), the survival of FYI is an important component of the end-of-summer minimum extent. How much FYI survives the summer melt season depends on a number of factors, e.g., the amount of FYI at the start of the melt season, the location of the FYI within the Arctic, advection of FYI ice (within and out of the Arctic basin), and of course the evolution of summer atmospheric and oceanic conditions. Though less of a percentage than FYI, some older multiyear ice (MYI) also does not survive the melt season due to the same factors. Thus, we accounted for the survival rates of all ice age types.

Historically, different summers have had substantially different survival rates. If we assume that conditions during the forthcoming summer will fall somewhere between the extremes of the historical period between 1985 and 2007, we provide a reasonable range of potential minimum extent based on the range of survival rates through previous summers.

In 2008 our range was too high and largely overestimated the minimum extent. We assessed that this was due to the fact that after the extreme low of 2007, there was far more FYI much farther north than normal. This FYI, though likely of similar thickness as previous years, was subject to lower solar forcing because of the high latitude. Thus, for 2009, we adjusted our method by calculating survival rates in 2 degree latitude bins. This also overestimated the minimum extent, perhaps because the low amount of FYI at high latitudes in previous did not provide robust statistics. In addition, conditions (winds/temperatures) through the summer were not as conducive to ice loss.

This year presents an interesting challenge. Unlike recent winters, there was much less advection of ice across and out of the Arctic due to a persistent strong negative mode of the Arctic Oscillation. Thus, the significant amount of FYI that was retained at the end of the previous two summers, which has since aged (and thickened) into 2nd and 3rd year ice largely stayed within the Arctic (Figure 2). However, there continued to be loss of older ice types. In addition, a tongue of relatively older ice spread across the northern coast of Alaska due to a strong Beaufort Gyre through the winter. This tongue contains considerable old ice, but this ice is relatively far south and largely in shallow shelf regions that will likely receive considerable heating from both the ocean and atmosphere. It is quite possible that most of this ice will melt out completely, but it is also possible that some that ice will survive and we may see a situation similar to 2006 where a large region of open water within the ice pack (i.e., a polynya-like feature) in the Beaufort/Chukchi region. The fate of this thicker older ice is a bit of a wildcard our estimates because if much of this ice does melt out completely, our estimates for older ice survival will be too high.

On the other hand, because of the retention of 2nd of 3rd year ice within the Arctic, FYI is mostly found at more typical latitudes closer to the coasts. Thus, FYI retention estimates may be more accurate this year compared to the past two years.

The minimum extent for 2010 based on the average of the previous years is 4.5 million square kilometers, with a one-standard deviation range of 3.9-5.2 million square kilometers. Based on the -
extremes of survival rates from previous years give a range between 2.9 millions square kilometers (based on 2007 survival rates) and 5.5 million square kilometers (based on 1996 survival rates). Survival rates from 4 out of 25 previous years (16%) will result in a new record minimum; 10 out of 25 (40%) result in a minimum below 2008 and 21 of 25 (84%) result in a minimum below 2009.

Figure 2. Ice age distribution from early May 2010 showing the substantial amount of 2\textsuperscript{nd} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} ice around the pole and the tonight of older ice stretching into the Beaufort Sea. Data/image provided by Chuck Fowler and Jim Maslanik, University of Colorado at Boulder.